

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 CHAD WINDHAM MITCHELL,

Case No. 3:22-cv-00159-MMD-CSD

4 Plaintiff

ORDER

5 v.

6 CARSON CITY SHERIFF'S OFFICE, et al.,

7 Defendants
 8

9 This 42 U.S.C. § 1983 case comes before the Court on Plaintiff Chad Windham
 10 Mitchell's response to order for current address and inquiry for injunctive relief. (ECF No.
 11 13.) On October 13, 2022, this Court ordered Plaintiff to file his updated address because
 12 according to a returned receipt for payment, Plaintiff was no longer at the address listed
 13 with the Court. (ECF No. 11.) Upon further inspection, the receipt for payment was
 14 returned because it was misaddressed.¹ (See ECF No. 10.) In his response, Plaintiff
 15 confirms that he is "still at the address on file with the Court as listed." (ECF No. 13 at 1.)
 16 In his response, Plaintiff also raises concerns about the Carson City Sheriff's Detention
 17 Facility's obstruction of his mail and his abilities to communicate with this Court. (ECF No.
 18 13 at 2–3.) This Court reassures Plaintiff that it has received his First Amendment
 19 Complaint (ECF No. 9 ("FAC")), the FAC is in line for screening, and this Court will issue
 20 a separate order once it has screened the FAC. To verify that all correspondence between
 21 this Court and Plaintiff has been received, this Court will instruct the Clerk of the Court to
 22 send Plaintiff a copy of his docket sheet.

23 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court send Plaintiff a copy of
 24 the docket sheet in this matter.

25 DATED: October 28, 2022.

26 
 27 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

28 ¹ This error by the Court has been corrected, and the receipt for payment was re-mailed
 to Plaintiff on October 27, 2022. (See ECF No. 13.)